





Lesson PDF Book

EXAMPLE

Copyright: These materials are photocopiable but we would appreciate it if all logos and web addresses were left on materials. Thank you.







Reading Test

EXAMPLE

<u>Copyright:</u> These materials are photocopiable but please leave all logos and web addresses on handouts. Please don't post these materials onto the web. Thank you





Student

Time: Approximately 1hour

Two types of lesson

Lesson#1: [Easier] ***** [B2/C1]

- 1. Predict the content of the text by reading the title. Write down the key terms & ideas.
- 2. Read the text. Check the unknown words with a dictionary.
- 3. Answer the comprehension questions.
- 4. Check your answers with the provided key (pass mark is 70%).

Lesson #2: [Challenging] ***** [C1]

- 1. Read the text without looking up any words.
- 2. Answer the comprehension questions.
- 3. Check your answers with the provided key (pass mark is 70%).

Teacher

Two types of lesson

Lesson#1: [easier] ***** [B2/C1]

- 1. Distribute **text 1 (without reference words underlined)** a week before the test. Students read, check vocabulary & meanings.
- 2. Test day. Distribute text 2 (with reference words underlined) & the questions (no dictionary or notes).
- 3. Set 1 hour to read the text & answer the questions.
- 4. Take in & correct or go through answers in class (pass mark is 70%).
- 5. Extra activity. Students write the *summary (add 30 minutes to the test).

Lesson #2: [Challenging] ***** [C1]

- 1. Test day. Distribute text 2 (with reference words underlined) & the questions.
- 2. Set 1 hour to read the text & answer the questions.
- 3. Take in & correct or go through answers in class (pass mark is 70%).
- 4. Extra activity. Students write the *summary (add 30 minutes to the test).



^{*}Summary writing: www.academic-englishuk.com/summary



Front groups (Text 1)

By H. Kennedy (2023) EXAMPLE

A front group is a type of independent organisation but is set up and funded by other corporations. According to STOP (2020), front groups are
perhaps the clearest example of the third-party technique whereby the front group will publicly champion another company in order to persuade
also known as lobbying. This in contrast to 'astroturf' groups, however, who specifically draft in new 'grassroots' support for a particular issue or
policy. In reality, the idea has usually been conceived
are sockpuppets, that is to say, false online identities
deliberately created by an individual or group to (Beder, 2017).
There are several characteristics which can determine whether an organisation is acting as front group. Some of the key, tell-tale signs could be simply
is funded. SourceWatch (2020) argues that a front group will typically use a name that suggests surveys, reports and polls conducted by their own
'esteemed and credible' experts, and which solely
corroborates with. There also seems to be an incredible amount of effort on the part of these experts of tours, conferences and other promotional events,
which would require a huge amount of additional . As
stated by SourceWatch (2020), although front groups rely on memberships to adhere to its is required more than their money, as front groups are
generally funded by outside sponsors, who incidentally, reaching channels of communication.
Some well-known examples of front groups in the food, tobacco and climate industry include the in 1985, has <i>Kraft Foods</i> and <i>The Hershey Company</i> as
board members, and is an advocate of genetic modification, in
livestock; the 1996 <i>Center for Consumer Freedom</i> , which is against organic food and reduction policies <i>Coca-Cola</i> on its board; and more recently in 2011,
Protect the Harvest, of which Lucas Oil and the Lucas Cattle Company are members, and endorses
industrial farming (Friends Of The Earth, 2017). With
Reynolds Tobacco Company funds two major front groups, Business Tobacco Alliance and The Non-
Smoker Protection Committee, taxation and overturn
smoking bans, as well as preventing the adoption of any new smoking laws, whereas one of the largest Citizens' Alliance for Responsible Energy, who object to
the promotion of solar or wind as an alternative and
several major oil and gas producers (SourceWatch, 2020). As these major industries are increasingly possible adverse impacts their ideals and operations
may have, which could have serious implications for
interest group has been created, known as the Social Aspects Public Relations Organization (SAPRO), these potentially damaging consequences (Pietracatella
and Brady, 2020). This is perhaps most felt in Pietracatella
and Brady (2020), industry-funded SAPROs such as <i>DrinkWise</i> use pro-consumption lobbying and self-
by harmful consumption of alcohol, and yet when
disclosing their findings, they themselves do not patterns instead.
What remains most apparent is that these organisations, which appear to be independent and





www.academic-englishuk.com

damaging to public health. Perhaps what is needed most of all at present is more awareness and education of these types of groups, to lack strict policies, so that those wishing to invest financially or become recruited voluntarily are more informed funding and members, front groups would not exist.

Reference list

Beder, S., (2017). Front Groups and Astroturf [online]. Available at: http://www.herinst.org/BusinessManagedDemocracy/environment/fronts/index.html [Viewed 17.02.2023].

Friends of the Earth, (2017).

[pdf]. Available at: https://foe.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/webiva-fs-2/FOE-Top11FrontGroups-1.pdf [Viewed 15.02.2023].

[Viewed 17.02.2023].

SourceWatch, (2020). *Front groups* [online]. Available at: https://www.sourcewatch.org/index.php/Front groups [Viewed 16.02.2023].

STOP, (2020). STOP Identifies 18 Public Health [online]. Available at: https://exposetobacco.org/news/stop-exposes-18-more-industry-allies/ [Viewed 17.02.2023].



Front groups (Text 2)

By H. Kennedy (2023) EXAMPLE

1. A front group is a type of independent organisation but is set up and funded by other corporations . According to STOP (2020), front groups are
perhaps the clearest example of the third-party technique whereby the front group will publicly champion another company in order to persuade ,
also known as lobbying. This in contrast to 'astroturf' groups, however, <u>who</u> specifically draft in new 'grassroots' support for a particular issue or
policy. In reality, the idea has usually been conceived
are sockpuppets, that is to say, false online identities
deliberately created by an individual or group to (Beder, 2017).
2. There are several characteristics which can determine whether an organisation is acting as front group. Some of the key, tell-tale signs could be simply
is funded. SourceWatch (2020) argues that a front group will typically use a name that suggests surveys, reports and polls conducted by their own
'esteemed and credible' experts, and which solely
corroborates with. There also seems to be an incredible amount of effort on the part of these experts
of tours, conferences and other promotional events,
which would require a huge amount of additional . As
stated by SourceWatch (2020), although front groups rely on memberships to adhere to its
is required more than <u>their</u> money, as front groups are
generally funded by outside sponsors, who incidentally, reaching channels of communication.
3. Some well-known examples of front groups in the food, tobacco and climate industry include the in 1985, has <i>Kraft Foods</i> and <i>The Hershey Company</i> as
board members, and is an advocate of genetic modification, in
livestock; the 1996 Center for Consumer Freedom, which is against organic food and reduction policies
Coca-Cola on its board; and more recently in 2011,
Protect the Harvest, of which Lucas Oil and the Lucas Cattle Company are members, and endorses
industrial farming (Friends Of The Earth, 2017). With
Reynolds Tobacco Company funds two major front groups, Business Tobacco Alliance and The Non-
Smoker Protection Committee, taxation and overturn
smoking bans, as well as preventing the adoption of any new smoking laws, whereas one of the largest
Citizens' Alliance for Responsible Energy, who object to the promotion of solar or wind as an alternative and
several major oil and gas producers (SourceWatch, 2020). As these major industries are increasingly
possible adverse impacts their ideals and operations
may have, which could have serious implications for
interest group has been created, known as the Social Aspects Public Relations Organization (SAPRO),
these potentially damaging consequences (Pietracatella
and Brady, 2020). This is perhaps most felt in Pietracatella
and Brady (2020), industry-funded SAPROs such as DrinkWise use pro-consumption lobbying and self-
by harmful consumption of alcohol, and yet when
disclosing <u>their</u> findings, they themselves do not patterns instead.
4. What remains most apparent is that these organisations, which appear to be independent and
in fact
damaging to public health. Perhaps what is needed most of all at present is more awareness and





www.academic-englishuk.com

education of these types of groups, to lack strict policies, so that those wishing to invest financially or become recruited voluntarily are more informed funding and members, front groups would not exist.

Reference list

Beder, S., (2017). Front Groups and Astroturf [online]. Available at:

http://www.herinst.org/BusinessManagedDemocracy/environment/fronts/index.html [Viewed 17.02.2023].

Friends of the Earth, (2017).

[pdf]. Available at:

https://foe.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/webiva fs 2/FOE Top11FrontGroups 1.pdf [Viewed 15.02.2023].

(2020). A New Development in Front Group Strategy: The Social Aspects

Public Relations Organization (SAPRO) [online]. Available at: [Viewed 17.02.2023].

SourceWatch, (2020). Front groups [online]. Available at:

https://www.sourcewatch.org/index.php/Front groups [Viewed 16.02.2023].

STOP, (2020). STOP Identifies 18

Public Health [online].

Available at: https://exposetobacco.org/news/stop-exposes-18-more-industry-allies/ [Viewed 17.02.2023].



Comprehension Questions

1. Headings: Choose a subheading for each paragraph. One title is not needed.

1	Α	Clear recommendations for dealing with front groups
2	В	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
3	С	Plausible interpretation of front groups
4	D	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
	E	Typical cases of front groups
		/4

2. True / False / Not Given: One question per paragraph.

		T/F/NG
Para	graph 1	
i.	A front group is established to generate national interest.	
Para	graph 2	
ii.	Front groups often	
Para	graph 3	
iii.	Genetic front group 'the	
	International Food Information Council' was set up.	
Para	graph 4	
iv.	Front groups provide .	
		/ /

3. Reference Words: What do these words connect to? (<u>Underlined</u> in the text).

Paragraph	Word	Connection
1	Who	
2	XXXXXXXXXX	
2	Their	
3	XXXXXXXXXX	
3	They	
4	XXXXXXXXXX	

4. Open Answer Questions: One question per paragraph.

Para	Paragraph 1						
i.	What are 'astroturf' groups?						
	1.						
Para	agraph 2						
ii.	What THREE ways do	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000	known?			
	1.	2.		3.			
Para	agraph 3						
ii.	What is the focus of the f	ollowing front grou	ıps?				
	Front Group (s)			Focus			
1.	International Food Information Council.						
2.	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX						



www.academic-englishuk.com

3.	Business Tobacco Alliance and The Non-				
	Smoker Protection Committee.				
4	The Citizens'				
	Energy.				
Par	Paragraph 4				
iv.	What TWO things are needed the most, according to the writer?				
	1.	2.			

___/10

5. Citations: Match the source with the point they make about front groups.

	Source		Point		
i.	SourceWatch (2020)	a.	A new type of organisation has been set up to help companies		
ii.	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	b.	A person or a group creates a fake identity in order to give the illusion of endorsements.		
iii.	STOP (2020)	C.	Front groups and memberships.		
iv.		d.	A front group openly endorses another company in order to convince others to support its policies.		
i.	i. ii. iii. iv				

___/

6. Key language: Search for the word in the paragraph that means:

Paragraph	Explanation	Word
1	An attempt to influence people on an issue.	
1	A deceptive	
2	Able to judge something fairly without allowing your own interest to influence you.	
2	The act of something or someone.	
3	To ask for and	
3	Attempt to doing something.	
4	An action adopted or proposed by an organisation or individual.	
4	A set of	

/	8

Overall Total: ____ / 36





Comprehension Questions ANSWERS

1. Headings: Choose a subheading for each paragraph. One title is not needed.

1	С	Α	Clear recommendations for dealing with front groups
2	D	В	Severe restrictions on front groups
3	E	С	Plausible interpretation of front groups

ALL ANSWERS INCLUDED IN PAID VERSION...







Reading to Writing Summary

EXAMPLE

Copyright: These materials are photocopiable but please leave all logos and web addresses on handouts. Please don't post these materials onto the web. Thank you





Student

Two types of lesson

Lesson#1: [Easier] ***** [B2/C1]

- 1. Predict the content of the text. Write down key terms & ideas.
- 2. Read the text & check words & meanings with a dictionary.
- 3. Identify the key points and supporting details and complete the outline.
- 4. Write a one-paragraph summary of 200-250 words.
- 5. Check key points with the completed outline & model answer (try to achieve 4 key points with supporting points).
- 6. Answer the critical thinking questions & check possible answers.

Lesson #2: [Challenging] ***** [C1]

- 1. Read the text no dictionary.
- 2. Identify the key points and supporting details and complete the outline.
- 3. Write a one-paragraph summary of 200-250 words.
- 4. Check key points with the completed outline & model answer (try to achieve 4 key points with supporting points).
- 5. Answer the critical thinking questions & check possible answers.

Teacher

Two types of lesson

Lesson#1: [Easier] ***** [B2/C1]

- 1. Distribute the text a week /day before the test. Students read, check vocabulary & meanings.
- 2. Test day: distribute a **new copy of text** and the **summary question.**
- 3. Set 1 hour to read the text, take notes and write a one-paragraph summary of 200-250 words.
- 4. Feedback¹: take in and mark [use our correction code*].
- 5. Feedback²: distribute **completed outline** & **model answer**. Students compare with their own work.
- 6. Summary marking: should contain at least 4 main ideas with support see summary key points.
- 7. Extra: critical thinking questions / group discussion (30 minutes).

Lesson #2: [Challenging] ***** [C1]

- 1. Set 1 hour to read the **text** and write a one-paragraph summary of 200-250 words.
- 3. Feedback¹: take in and mark [use our correction code*].
- 4. Feedback²: distribute **completed outline** & **model answer.** Students compare with their own work.
- 5. Summary marking: should contain at least 4 main ideas with support see summary key points.
- 6. Extra: critical thinking questions / group discussion (30 minutes).

Correction code*: www.academic-englishuk/error-correction





By H. Kennedy (2023) **EXAMPLE**

A front group is a type of independent organisation but is set up and funded by other corporation. According to STOP (2020), front groups are	
perhaps the clearest example of the third-party technique whereby the front group will publicle champion another company in order to persuade	
also known as lobbying. This in contrast to 'astroturf' groups, however, who specifically draft in nev	⊪ M
'grassroots' support for a particular issue o	
policy. In reality, the idea has usually been conceived	
are sockpuppets, that is to say, false online identitie	S
deliberately created by an individual or group to (Beder, 2017).	
There are several characteristics which can determine whether an organisation is acting as fron group. Some of the key, tell-tale signs could be simply	t
s funded. SourceWatch (2020) argues that a front group will typically use a name that suggest	5
surveys, reports and polls conducted by their own	
esteemed and credible' experts, and which solely	
corroborates with. There also seems to be an incredible amount of effort on the part of these expert of tours, conferences and other promotional events	
which would require a huge amount of additional . A	S
stated by SourceWatch (2020), although front groups rely on memberships to adhere to it is required more than their money, as front groups are	
generally funded by outside sponsors, who incidentally,	
reaching channels of communication.	
Some well-known examples of front groups in the food, tobacco and climate industry include the	
in 1985, has Kraft Foods and The Hershey Company a	
0 11 1	n
ivestock; the 1996 Center for Consumer Freedom, which is against organic food and reduction policie Coca-Cola on its board; and more recently in 2011	Ι,
Protect the Harvest, of which Lucas Oil and the Lucas Cattle Company are members, and endorse ndustrial farming (Friends Of The Earth, 2017). With	S
Reynolds Tobacco Company funds two major front groups, Business Tobacco Alliance and The Non) –
Smoker Protection Committee, taxation and overture	n
smoking bans, as well as preventing the adoption of any new smoking laws, whereas one of the larges Citizens' Alliance for Responsible Energy, who object to	
the promotion of solar or wind as an alternative and	
several major oil and gas producers (SourceWatch, 2020). As these major industries are increasingly	V
possible adverse impacts their ideals and operation	-
may have, which could have serious implications for	
nterest group has been created, known as the Social Aspects Public Relations Organization (SAPRO)),
these potentially damaging consequences (Pietracatell	
and Brady, 2020). This is perhaps most felt in Pietracatell	
and Brady (2020), industry-funded SAPROs such as <i>DrinkWise</i> use pro-consumption lobbying and self	F-
by harmful consumption of alcohol, and yet when	n
disclosing their findings, they themselves do not	
What remains most apparent is that these organisations, which appear to be independent and	d
111 1411	





www.academic-englishuk.com

damaging to public health. Perhaps what is needed most of all at present is more awareness and education of these types of groups, to lack strict policies, so that those wishing to invest financially or become recruited voluntarily are more informed funding and members, front groups would not exist.

Reference list

Beder, S., (2017). Front Groups and Astroturf [online]. Available at: http://www.herinst.org/BusinessManagedDemocracy/environment/fronts/index.html [Viewed 17.02.2023].

Friends of the Earth, (2017).

[pdf]. Available at: https://foe.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/webiva_fs_2/FOE_Top11FrontGroups_1.pdf [Viewed 15.02.2023].

[Viewed 17.02.2023].

SourceWatch, (2020). *Front groups* [online]. Available at: https://www.sourcewatch.org/index.php/Front groups [Viewed 16.02.2023].

STOP, (2020). STOP Identifies 18 Public Health [online]. Available at: https://exposetobacco.org/news/stop-exposes-18-more-industry-allies/ [Viewed 17.02.2023].



Summary: Key Points

Take notes on the key points of the text.

1.Main idea:
Support:
2. Main idea:
Support:
3. Main idea:
Support:
4. Main idea:
Support:



Summary: Key Points (ANSWERS)

Take notes on the key points of the text.

1.Main	n idea: Definition	3000000			
Suppoi	rt:				
•	Front group = Type of ind. org. set up &				
•	" " publicly 2 persuade ppl (STOP, 2020).				
•	Astroturf groups = Draft new supporters	2 give impress. of grassroots support	for		
	pol./campaign.				
•	Ideas =	are sockpuppets (fake ids	of		
	support) (Beder, 2017).				
	n idea: How to detect a front group.				
Suppoi	_				
•	Attributes of front groups: Names that	reg. _I	•		
	•	n experts that ben. ind./grp it corrobo	rates w		
	(SourceWatch, 2020).				
•		er promo. events.	XXXXXXXXXXX		
	spent is not revealed.	(6) (4.1.1. 2020)			
2 14=:	Front groups rely	(SourceWatch, 2020).			
	n idea: Well-known examples of front grou	ıps.			
Suppor		for	. d 0 f		
•	International Food Information Council =	100	od & use of		
	Center for Consumer Freedom = A/st org	r food & rod not			
	Protect the Harvest =	(Friends of The Earth, 2	2017)		
	Business Tobacco Alliance & The Non-Sn	•	•		
	2 help fight tobacco tax,	of new smoking law.			
	The Citizens' Alliance for Responsible En		//////////////////////////////////////		
	(SourceWatch, 2020).	ergy – Objects 2 soldi			
•	(304/30/1740/1) 2020)!	(SAPRO) = Helps orgs. alleviate dar	maging		
		(e. ii iie) iieips eigei ameriate dai			
_	effects (Pietracatella and Brady, 2020).		magmg		
•	effects (Pietracatella and Brady, 2020). DrinkWise	& self-reg. 2 prot.	Managarig		
•	effects (Pietracatella and Brady, 2020). DrinkWise by alcohol.	& self-reg. 2 prot.			
4. Maii	DrinkWise by alcohol.				
	DrinkWise by alcohol. n idea: How to protect people from front §				
4. Maii Suppoi	DrinkWise by alcohol. n idea: How to protect people from front §				
	DrinkWise by alcohol. n idea: How to protect people from front grt:	groups.			
	DrinkWise by alcohol. n idea: How to protect people from front grt: Front groups =	groups.			



Summary

	e key features of t	

AE Academic Englishuk

Word Count: _____



Sample Summary: Front Groups

Structured as a private enterprise but established	and financed by another organisation, a front group
is a classic	it advocates for the 'parent' company in
order to influence people to support its camp	aigns (STOP, 2020).
the	ey are serving the 'parent' company, which uses the
front group to advance its profits. Found in many	risk
to	detect. They tend to use neutral names, but publish
biased research; they invest in promotional event	s, but
ар	proach, but hide the fact that their capital mainly
comes from outside sponsors (SourceWatch, 20	20). As it
a i	group known as the Social Aspects Public Relations
Organization (SAPRO) has been set up	(Pietracatella
and Brady, 2020).	, one industry-funded SAPRO uses
pro-consumption	its large profits (ibid). What is clear is
that these front organisations are	t of risk industries, so
more	is required in order for people to have a better
understanding before they become	

Word count 246



Critical Thinking Questions

i) What's the stance of the author? What is the evidence for this?	
	[2 points]
ii) Is this a credible article? Yes /no – why?	
	[2 points]
ii) Highlight four ideas in the text you would use for an essay titled: 'Outline your und groups'.	erstanding of front
τουμς.	
	[4 points]
v) Highlight two areas in the text that you question, disagree with or lack evidence.	
	[2 points]
	[2 points]



Critical Thinking Questions

i) What's the stance of the author? What is the evidence for this?

The writer believes that front groups have a negative impact on public health and more awareness on how these groups operate is required. The writer's stance in clear in the conclusion (paragraph 4).

Examples:

- What remains most apparent is that these organisations, which appear to be independent and supportive of established policies in risk industries, are in fact rather misleading and potentially damaging to public health.
- Perhaps what is needed most of all at present is

ALL ANSWERS INCLUDED IN PAID VERSION...







Listening Test

EXAMPLE

<u>Copyright:</u> These materials are photocopiable but please leave all logos and web addresses on handouts. Please don't post these materials onto the web. Thank you





Front Groups: Case Studies

[Listening Test Questions] **EXAMPLE**

Author: C. Wilson **Date:** 17/02/23 **Time:** 10:45

Level: ***** [B2/C1]

Download Links

Lecture:	MP3:	PowerPoint Download:		
Available in paying download	Available in paying download	Available in paying download		

Check these words and phrases before listening:

	ese words and prinases before listening.
Key v	<u>ocabulary</u>
1.	Voluntary associations / charitable organizations.
2.	
3.	Legal liability.
4.	
5.	Independent and not-for-profit organisations.
6.	
7.	To discredit research / spurious research.
8.	
9.	A neutral stance.
	To disguise itself.
	To sow doubt, mislead or/and provide misinformation.
12.	
	A concealed agenda.
	An industry watchdog.
15.	
	A subsidiary company.
	Philip Morris International (a tobacco company).
18.	
	A grant recipient.
	Affiliated researchers and journal peer-reviewers.
	Conflicts of interest
	To control the public discourse.
23.	Climata Chana / The Davis Assessment / Vesta Dustreel
24. 25.	Climate Change / The Paris Agreement / Kyoto Protocol.
26.	Companies: ExxonMobil / Koch Industries.
27.	Traceable funding.
28.	
29.	Extremist think tanks.
30.	

<u>Copyright:</u> These materials are photocopiable but please leave all logos and web addresses on handouts. Please don't post these materials onto the web. Thank you





Teacher

LISTENING TEST QUESTIONS

Aim: to develop the students' ability to listen to a 10 min+ lecture, to take notes and then use those notes to answer a range of test- type questions.

Lesson Time: Approximately 1:30-2:00 hours

Lesson Plan

Lead in

- Ask Students to read the 'title' & predict the content of the lecture.
- Ask students to write down key terms & language from the discussion.
- Feed in / check key vocabulary.

Differentiation

Challenging

- 1. Students listen once & take notes.
- 2. Give <u>5 minutes</u> to tidy notes.
- 3. Listen again & add to notes (use a different colour pen).
- 4. Distribute questions. Set 30 minutes to answer using their notes.
- 5. Feedback: Distribute or project ANSWERS.

Medium

- 1. Students listen once & take notes.
- 2. Distribute questions. Set <u>20 minutes</u> to answer using their notes.
- 3. Listen again. Students answer the missed questions as they listen.
- 4. Give an extra 10 minutes to consolidate answers.
- 5. Feedback: Distribute or project ANSWERS.

<u>Easier</u>

- 1. Distribute questions. Students have <u>15 minutes</u> to read the questions.
- 2. Students listen & answer the questions.
- 3. Give 10 minutes to tidy answers.
- 4. Students listen again. Check answers & answer missed questions.
- 5. Give <u>10-15 minutes</u> to tidy answers.
- 6. Feedback: Distribute or project ANSWERS.

Full URL Links:

Video: Available in paying download

MP3: Available in paying download

PPT: Available in paying download





Front Groups: Case Studies

1. Bas	ic definition of a fro	ont group: Fill in the	TWO missing	g words (The first letter of each word	I has been given).
Front	groups appear to be		YYYYYYYYYY	or charitable organi	sations hut in
koooo	groups appear to be		onal compani	es from LL	
			,	,	,_
					/2
2. The	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	group. Who set	ts up and fun	ds the front group?	
1	Set up:				
2	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				
	<u> </u>				/2
3. Fro	nt group objectives	s. Are these stateme	nts true, fals	e or not given?	
	1				T/F/NG
i.	Their main purpo the company's pr	, -	any type of	scientific fact that hampers	
ii.	Front groups help	to	0000000000	and policies.	
iii.	Front groups use	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	>0000000000	based on proven	
	X000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	the mind	s of the general public.	
iv.	A front group is ve	ery	000000000		
					/4
	_			g words from the lecture.	
i.	Since	obacco front groups	existed?		
	Since				
ii.	The Industry	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXX	front groups?	
	1. Groups?		2.	Countries?	
iii.	What does the B	Brazilian Institute for I	 Ethics and Co	mpetition strongly oppose the	rough smoke
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	30000000000000000	0000 ?		
	1.		2.		
	<u>, </u>				/5
5. Tob	acco Industry. Mul	tiple choice: Choose	ONE answer	per question only.	
i.		tablish a new front gr		a. 2007.	
		· ·	·	b. 2017.	
				c. 2011.	
ii.	How	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000	a. \$1Bn / 12 years.	
	in this new front g	group?		b.	
		•		c. \$10M / 12 years.	



Eng	ishuk	
 and the second	Company of the con-	

iii.	The foundation	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000000	a. (00000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	groups to			b. undermir	ne WHO policies.	
				c. 00000000	000000000000	
iv.	The foundation	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	that		by tobacco compa	anies
	The roundation		triat	b.	by tobacco comp.	arrico.
				-	links to tobacco c	ompanies
	14 1		+- :- +:6.		IIIKS to tobacco c	ompanies.
V.	It has now become a big tobacco's	serious challen	ge to identify	a	AAAAAAAAAAA	
	academic journals.		WAAAAAAA III	b. No.		
	academic journals.			C.	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
						/5
6 Alc	ohol Industry. Answer	the following a	uestions using	words from t	the lecture	
i.	What	IARE	_	words from	inc iccture.	
	Wilde	17 (17)				
ii.	What do IARD	000000000000)000000000X	<u> </u>		
iii.	What	.00000000000000	the "Drink R	esponsibly" c	ampaign?	
						/3
7. Oil	Industry. Complete ea	ch gap with ON	E word or num	ber. (The first le	tter of each word has be	en given)
Since	the i), Exx	konMobil has	00000000000	>>>>>>	ii) D	It
XXXXXX	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	opposing reg	ulations to cu	rtail iii) G	W	. critical
af + la a	Kusta Duata ad and an					3000000000
or the	Kyoto Protocol and so	ugnt to undermi	ne iv) P	opinion	AAAAAAAAAAAA	AAAAAAAA
that c	limate change	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	. Acc	ording to CAC	CC (2021) ExxonN	lobil's main
object	tive is to v) R	the public's	>00000000000	0000000000	science.	
•						
Koch I	ndustries, the i) S	largest	orivately-	XXXXXXXXXXXXXX	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	invested
		largest [
ii)	million in	0000000000000000	climate	e change scier	nce, policy and reg	ulation. The
XXXXX	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Industries	s fund iii) P		campaigns agai	nst climate
chang	e regulations, such as a	a iv) B	to	xxxxxxxxxxxx	or a v) I	
					01 4 1/ 2	
to abo	olish	ga	s emissions.			
						/10
	1441					
	nmary. What is the ov	erall stance of the	ne lecturer?			1
i.						
						/ 2
Total	Score / 33					/ 2
iotai	Jeone / 33					



Front Group ANSWERS

1. Basic definition of a front group: Fill in the TWO missing words (The first letter has been given)

Front groups appear, on the surface, to be independent voluntary associations or charitable organisations, but in reality they are used to shield multi-national companies from Legal Liability.

2. The creation of the front group. Who sets up and funds the front group?

1	Set up: (Hugely lucrative) conglomerates.
2	Funding: The major organisations within

ALL ANSWERS ARE INCLUDED IN PAID VERSION...

Front groups: case studies TRANSCRIPT

By C. Wilson (2023)

Hello, and welcome to this short lecture today entitled 'front groups'. Front groups appear, on the surface, to be independent voluntary associations or charitable organisations, but in reality they are used to shield multi-national companies from legal liability. In today's lecture we'll look at what a front group actually is in more detail and focus on three front group case studies connected to the tobacco, alcohol and oil industries. This should provide you with a clearer ...

THE FULL TRANSCRIPT IS INCLUDED IN THE PAID VERSION...







Speaking: Seminar

Copyright: These materials are photocopiable but we would appreciate it if all logos and web addresses were left on materials. Thank you.





Seminars

1. An overview of how a seminar works

- Students work together in groups of 4-6.
- Teachers provide a set of questions.
- Students discuss for 25-30 minutes (approx 5 mins per person).
- Students summarise the key points in the last 5 mins.
- Students CAN refer to their texts and notes.
- Teachers monitor and give feedback at the end.

2. Pre-seminar task

- Students:
 - i. Take notes on the reading text and lecture.
 - ii. Predict question types and practice formulating answers.
 - iii. Work with a partner to practice asking and answering questions about the texts.
- ♦ Differentiation (low levels): distribute questions for students to prepare in advance.

3. The seminar

- The group are called into a room and they sit around a table.
- The questions are given out and students have 2 minutes to read and prepare by taking notes.
- The seminar begins with an opening statement we're here today to discuss...
- The students then begin to discuss the first question.
- Each student should make a contribution by referring to their notes / texts.
- The seminar should flow with students adding to what was previously said.
- Once everyone agrees the question has been addressed in full, then they move onto the next question.
- Important: not all the questions have to be answered but they should be discussed in order.
- Once the students begin to approach 25 minutes, they should bring it to an end by each one summarising a main point raised.

4. Points to remember

- It should be a flowing conversation with everyone involved and contributing.
- The teacher / tutor should not intervene if it goes quiet but let the students manage the discussion.
- Students have to show confidence and demonstrate thorough awareness of the texts.
- Dominant students are penalised for not sharing and including others.
- Students should be penalised for just reading notes.
- Key debate phrases should be used to should conversation skills agreeing, disagreeing, interrupting, etc...





Seminar Questions

Key sources:

Reading: Kennedy (2023)

Beder (2017); Friends of the Earth (2017); Pietracatella and Brady (2020); SourceWatch (2020); STOP (2020).

Lecture: Watts (2023)

Alcohol Justice (2014); Campaign Against Climate Change (CACC) (2021); Greenpeace (2016); STOP (2020);

The Climate Reality Project (2019); Toxic-free Future (2021); University of Bath (2021).

- 1. What is a front group? (Give examples to support your ideas).
- 2. What are the main characteristics of a front group?
- 3. Discuss specific front groups and what action they take to distort the truth.
- 4. What do you think can be done to limit these pressure groups?
- 5. Critical thinking is there anything in the lecture or text that you would question and/or disagree with?