

Poster Writing Criteria EXAMPLE

	Task (40%)	Organisation (30%)	Language (30%)
5 (A)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Response is [redacted]. Ideas well-presented & well-supported. [redacted]. A range of credible sources used. [redacted] & evaluation. Accurate & effective use of paraphrase, [redacted]. Very accurate referencing & ref list. [redacted] plagiarism. Effective and clearly connected images. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> [redacted]. Paragraph organisation is logical & well-developed. [redacted] sentences. Introduction & conclusion clearly support the essay's ideas. [redacted]. Cohesion is clear with effective cohesive devices. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accurate control of word forms & collocations. [redacted]. Full control of simple and complex sentence structures. [redacted] features such as noun phrases, relative clauses & tenses. [redacted] in no way detract.
4 (B)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Response [redacted] prompt. Ideas supported but could be more developed. [redacted] style. Some credible sources used. [redacted] & evaluation. Accurate & generally effective use of [redacted] & synthesis. Accurate referencing & ref list. [redacted] in all but one or two unconscious cases. [redacted] connected images. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> [redacted]. Paragraph organisation is generally logical & developed. [redacted]. Introduction & conclusion support the essay's ideas but may [redacted]. Cohesion is mostly clear, although thematic coherence may [redacted]. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mostly accurate control of word forms & collocations. [redacted] some repetition. Work shows some control of simple & complex sentences. [redacted] such as noun phrases, relative clauses & tenses. [redacted] the quality of the answer.
3 (C)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Response is [redacted] prompt. [redacted] &/or unsupported. [redacted] style. Limited use of credible sources. Sources [redacted]. Good use of paraphrase & quotation [redacted]. Inaccuracies in referencing &/or ref list. [redacted]. Some successful attempts at effective [redacted]. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> [redacted]. Paragraph organisation is logical but not always developed. [redacted]. Introduction & conclusion may be formulaic. [redacted] coherence may be missing at times. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> [redacted] but do not usually interfere with the message. Limited range of vocabulary. [redacted] but have errors. Work shows attempt to use grammatical features such as noun [redacted] not always correct. Tense use is not always accurate. [redacted] the overall message is clear.

Poster Writing Criteria EXAMPLE

<p>2 (D)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Response [redacted] prompt. Ideas are severely [redacted]. • Inappropriate academic style. • [redacted] sources. • Mainly descriptive writing throughout. • [redacted] misuse of quotation. No synthesis. • [redacted] &/or bibliography. • [redacted] sections of copied source material. • [redacted] of images. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall organisational structure is not appropriate. • [redacted] • No clear topic sentences. • [redacted], simplistic or irrelevant to the main body of the essay. • [redacted] 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [redacted] occur - may cause a strain on the reader. • [redacted]. • Complex sentences are attempted but are generally inaccurate. • [redacted] clauses are largely absent. • [redacted] limited. • Errors place strain & interfere with the overall message.
<p>1 (F)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Response [redacted] prompt. • Ideas [redacted] repetitive. • [redacted] style. • Severely limited use of credible sources. • Completely [redacted]. • Limited paraphrasing & quotation. No synthesis. • [redacted] may be absent or inadequate. • [redacted] sections of complete copying. • [redacted] and not connected to text. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall [redacted] • Paragraph organisation is illogical within paragraphs. • [redacted] • Introduction & conclusion are extremely formulaic, [redacted]. Cohesive devices are misused or absent. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [redacted], causing a considerable strain on the reader. • [redacted] inappropriate. • Complex sentences are absent. • [redacted] are absent. • Little control of tense use. • [redacted] sections.